Tuning colloidal interactions by controlling the solvent properties: critical Casimir forces and beyond

Emanuela Zaccarelli Nicoletta Gnan and Francesco Sciortino









Flowing matter across the scales Rome, 26th March 2015

Introduction





Specific interactions between colloids allow to explore new phases and states

The addition of co-solute particles allows to manipulate and control the phase behaviour of colloids by means of effective interactions, e.g. depletion

Co-solute properties can be exploited, to create new types of effective interactions among colloids

When co-solute particles are much smaller than colloids, an analogy can be drawn with interactions induced by a molecular solvent: can depletion be related to critical Casimir effect?

Introduction: Depletion Interactions

Colloids in solution with depletant particles



When the two colloids are at distances larger than *2R* the net pressure on each colloid is zero

Introduction: Depletion Interactions

Colloids in solution with depletant particles



- Entropy-driven interactions
- -The **range** is controlled by the **size** of depletant
- -The **strength** is controlled by the **concentration** of depletant

When the two colloids are closer than 2R a "depletion" region appears. The mismatch of the pressure inside and outside the colloids give rise to an effective attraction



Introduction: Depletion Interactions

Colloids in solution with depletant particles



The depletion force can be calculated analytically in the famous **Asakura-Oosawa** model:

hard-sphere colloids with ideal depletant (hard-core interactions between colloid and depletant particles)

$$\begin{split} \beta V_{AO}(r,R,\rho) \ &= -\pi\rho\left(2R-r\right)\left[\left(\frac{R\sigma_c}{2} + \frac{2R^2}{3}\right)\right.\\ &\left. -\frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_c}{2} + \frac{R}{3}\right) - \frac{r^2}{12}\right]\Theta(2R-r) \end{split}$$

R radius of the depletant *r* colloids surface-to-surface distance *ρ* density of the depletant

 Θ -function indicates that the potential vanishes for distance longer than 2R

Tuning effective interactions by a solvent: Critical Casimir Forces





Consider a non-ideal depletant:

a very interesting situation arises when the solvent (or depletant) is close to criticality

The confinement of the critical fluctuations* of the order parameter close to the critical point gives rise to an effective force between the colloids

M. E. Fisher and P. G. deGennes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris B287,209 (1978)

* in analogy with the Casimir effect

Casimir effect



- confinement of quantum fluctuations of magnetic field in vacuum
 - Outside the plates: no restrictions

Inside the plates: only the mode having a node at the plate surfaces are allowed

Net pressure of the total field outside the two plates

$$F_{\rm Cas} = \frac{\hbar c \pi^2 A}{240 L^4}$$

Neutral conducting metallic plates



H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wet. B51,793 (1948)

Critical Casimir Forces: Theoretical results

The critical Casimir force depends on

- The distance between the confining surfaces
- The geometry of the confining surfaces
- •The ability of the confining surfaces to absorb or not the confined medium (Boundary Conditions)

Universal scaling function between two spheres

$$\beta \Phi(z) = \frac{\sigma_c}{z} \Theta(z/\xi)$$
$$\Theta(z/\xi)_{(\pm,\pm)}(z/\xi \gg 1) =$$
$$\pi A_{(\pm,\pm)}(z/\xi) e^{-(z/\xi)}$$





Critical Casimir Forces: Experimental results

Direct experimental measurements and verification of the universal scaling form

$$\beta \Phi(z) = \frac{\sigma_c}{z} \Theta(z/\xi)$$
$$\Theta(z/\xi)_{(\pm,\pm)}(z/\xi \gg 1) =$$
$$\pi A_{(\pm,\pm)}(z/\xi) e^{-(z/\xi)}$$

The range can be controlled by finely tuning the solvent temperature close to the critical point

The sign depends on the adsorption properties of the confining surfaces towards the confined medium



C. Hertlein, L. Helden, A. Gambassi, S. Dietrich & C. Bechinger. Nature 451 (2008)

Critical Casimir Forces: Experimental results

• Critical Casimir forces can induce colloidal aggregation



Critical Casimir Forces can be exploited to control colloidal aggregation

Colloids in a Molecular solvent



changing ΔT

P.B. Shelpe, V. D. Nguyen. A. V. Limaye and P. Schall Adv. Mater. 25, 1499 (2013)



V. D. Nguyen, S. Faber, Z. Hu, G. H. Wegdam and P. Schall, Nature Commun. 4, 1584 (2013)

When depletion goes critical

Depletant: non ionic micelles with a critical point at high T

• A continous line can be drawn separating the region where colloids are stable from the region where colloids aggregate.



S.Buzzaccaro, J. Colombo, A. Parola, and R. Piazza. *PRL* **105**, (2010); R. Piazza et al J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23,194114 (2011).

When depletion goes critical

Depletant: non ionic micelles with a critical point at high T

• A continous line can be drawn separating the region where colloids are stable from the region where colloids aggregate.

• Far from the critical point colloidal reversible aggregation occurs due to depletion effect

• Close to the critical point critical Casimir forces are observed and represent the dominant contribution in the effective potential

S.Buzzaccaro, J. Colombo, A. Parola, and R. Piazza. *PRL* **105**, (2010); R. Piazza et al J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23,194114 (2011).

Colloids in a Depletant





Do critical fluctuations play a role in colloidal phase separation? An answer from simulations

Two depletant models have been considered:

• a **three-patch model** (3P) with a **low-density critical point** to reproduce the experiment of S. Buzzaccaro *et al*.

• an isotropic square-well attraction (SW)

The effective force acting on two hard-sphere colloids is evaluated through umbrella sampling Monte Carlo simulations.



Critical behavior of the depletant

• We determine the thermal correlation length ξ in the bulk SW system



$$S(k) = c + \frac{S(0) - c}{1 + \xi^2 k^2}$$

 For T >> Tc a typical depletion potential for a hard-sphere depletant is observed. The range of attraction is set by the radius of the depletant (in this case 0.1 σc)



• Decreasing T V_{eff} loses its oscillatory character and turns into a completely attractive potential



• A progressive significant increase of the interaction range is observed on approaching Tc



In the "sphere-sphere" geometry the long distance behavior of the critical Casimir potential is $\beta \Phi(r) = \pi A \frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon} e^{-(r-\sigma_c)/\xi}$



Results: correlation length



The correlation length extracted from the effective potential coincides with that of the bulk critical depletant

Phase behaviour of colloids: role of the depletant



patchy depletant

SW depletant



PRL 105, 198301 (2010)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 5 NOVEMBER 2010

Critical Depletion

Stefano Buzzaccaro,¹ Jader Colombo,² Alberto Parola,² and Roberto Piazza¹

"...we have shown that depletion interactions and fluctuation induced forces near a critical point have a common physical origin..."



Still controversial, but...

Phase separation of colloids: role of the depletant



$$H = \sum_{ij} V_{HS}(r_{ij}) + V_{\text{eff}}(r_{ij})$$

•We scan the chemical potential in order to detect if colloids form a stable fluid phase or phase separate



q= size ratio

Phase separation of colloids: role of the depletant



 Grand-canonical MC simulations of a single component system of colloidal particles interacting via the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{ij} V_{HS}(r_{ij}) + V_{\text{eff}}(r_{ij})$$

• We scan the chemical potential in order to detect if colloids form a stable fluid phase or phase separate



Phase separation of colloids: role of the depletant size



•We evaluate the loci of the onset of phase separation for different q values

• Our results suggest that for small *size ratios* it is difficult to observe Casimir-induced colloidal phase separation (depletion drives phase separation!)

Phase separation of colloids: role of the depletant size



•We evaluate the loci of the onset of phase separation for different q values

• Our results suggest that **for small** *q* **values** it is difficult to observe Casimir-induced colloidal phase separation! (**depletion** drives phase separation!)

How can the experiment reveal Casimir-induced phase separation with qexp ~ 0.03 ?



Phase separation of colloids: role of the depletant size



when additional repulsive interaction compensates the depletion part the onset of aggregation occurs close to the critical point



Effects of depletant-colloid interactions

• The Hamiltonian of the system simulated is

$$H = V_{CC} + V_{SS} + V_{CS}$$

So far

- $V_{cc} = HS$ potential
- $V_{ss} = SW$ or 3P potential
- Vcs =HS potential

Symmetric BC

- $V_{cc} = HS$ potential
- $V_{SS} = SW$
- Vcls =**HS** potential
- $V_{c2s} = SW$ potential

Antisymmetric BC

Depletant-colloid interactions: effective potentials for symmetric BC





Depletant-colloid interactions: effective potentials for symmetric BC





Depletant-colloid interactions: effective potentials for antisymmetric BC

- $V_{cc} = HS$ potential
- $\mathbf{V}_{SS} = \mathbf{SW}$
- Vcls =**HS** potential
- $V_{c2s} = SW$ potential

Antisymmetric BC

•Increasing the strength of the interaction, the short range part of the potential becomes repulsive

• No large oscillation appear

High Temperature



Depletant-colloid interactions: effective potentials for antisymmetric BC

- $V_{cc} = HS$ potential
- $V_{SS} = SW$
- Vcls =**HS** potential
- $V_{c2s} = SW$ potential

Antisymmetric BC

•Increasing the strength of the interaction, the whole **potential becomes repulsive**

•The characteristic length is still consistent with the thermal correlation length ξ

Low Temperature



Depletant-colloid interactions: effective potentials and boundary conditions

• In the critical region, indipendently on the BC, the long range part of the effective potential decays exponentially.

• The characteristic length of the potential is consistent with the correlation length ξ







Can we induce long-range effective forces with another mechanism?



Can we induce long-range effective forces with another mechanism?

GEOMETRIC PERCOLATION

•Describes the **growth of clusters** on approaching the percolation threshold. **At pc an infinite cluster** appears

THERMAL CRITICAL PHENOMENA

•Describes the growth of correlated regions on approaching a 2nd order critical point. At Te the size of the correlated regions diverge.



Can we induce long-range effective forces with another mechanism?

GEOMETRIC PERCOLATION

•Describes the **growth of clusters** on approaching the percolation threshold. **At pc an infinite cluster** appears

THERMAL CRITICAL PHENOMENA

•Describes the growth of correlated regions on approaching a 2nd order critical point. At T_c the size of the correlated regions diverge.

•Both are characterized by scaling and universality

The **connectivity length** diverges at the transition

$$\xi_{conn} \propto |p - p_c|^{-\nu_{RP}}$$

RP: random percolation universality class

The **correlation length** diverges at the critical point

$$\xi_{corr} \propto |T - T_c|^{-\nu_{IS}}$$

IS: Ising universality class

Effective interactions between 2 HS colloids immersed in a fluid of clusters

 $p/p_{c}=0.11$



Depletant model for simulations: two important facts

1) Percolation can be mapped into a critical point only if the system is characterized by quenched disorder [*M. Daoud*, *A. Coniglio*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14, L301 (1981)*.]

Quenched disorder



Irreversible bonds

(chemical gel)

The bond lifetime does not need to be infinite, but larger than the observation time

Depletant model for simulations: two important points

1) Percolation can be mapped into a critical point only if the system is characterized by quenched disorder [*M. Daoud*, *A. Coniglio*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14, L301 (1981)*.]

Quenched disorder



Irreversible bonds

(chemical gel)

The bond lifetime does not need to be infinite, but larger than the observation time

2) What plays the role of critical fluctuations in percolation?

the two colloids interact with clusters whose size fluctuates

The confinement of such fluctuations should give rise to a long-range effective interaction *Casimir-like effect*

Results: Effective Potentials close to percolation



Φ=0.052

• V_{eff} turns into a **completely attractive** potential

• A progressive significant increase of the interaction range is observed on approaching the percolation threshold



Results: Effective Potentials close to percolation



The long-distance part of V*eff* is well described by an exponential function $exp(-r/\xi eff)$ controlled by a length that is proportional to the connectivity length of the sol of clusters

$$\xi = \left[\frac{2\sum_{s} R_{g}^{2}(s)s^{2}n(s)}{\sum_{s} s^{2}n(s)}\right]^{1/2}$$

-0.5 correlation length Simulations $\beta V_{eff}(r)$ $p/p_c = 0.11$ • $p/p_c = 0.83$ $p/p_c = 0.87$ $\blacklozenge \phi p/p_c=0.90$ 10 15 connectivity length ξ **▼ ∨** p/p_c=0.93 10 15 5 r/σ

Φ=0.052

Theory: a polydisperse Asakura-Oosawa model

We model the sol of clusters as *non-interacting* polydisperse spheres of radius Rs distributed according to the cluster size distribution n(s)

$$n(s) = \frac{Ns^{-\tau}e^{-\frac{s}{s_c}}}{s_c^{2-\tau}\Gamma(2-\tau, s_c^{-1})}$$

Summing the AO contribution over all clusters: $\beta V_{AO}^{eff}(r) = \sum_{s} \beta V_{AO}(r, R_s, \rho_s) n(s)$

$$\beta V_{AO}^{eff}(r) = -\pi \int_{(\frac{r}{2R_1})^D}^{\infty} ds \rho_1 \frac{s^{-\tau} e^{-\frac{s}{s_c}}}{s_c^{2-\tau} \Gamma(2-\tau, s_c^{-1})}$$
$$(2R_s - r) \left[\left(\frac{R_s \sigma_c}{2} + \frac{2R_s^2}{3} \right) - \frac{r}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{2} + \frac{R_s}{3} \right) - \frac{r^2}{12} \right]$$



 $\rho_1
 is the monomer number density
 and
 <math>R_s = R_1 s^{1/D}$ from percolation theory
 which holds for s >50

Comparison between theory and simulations



Highly polydisperse AO model

$$\beta V_{AO}^{eff}(r) = -\pi \int_{(\frac{r}{2R_1})^D}^{\infty} ds \rho_1 \frac{s^{-\tau} e^{-\frac{s}{s_c}}}{s_c^{2-\tau} \Gamma(2-\tau, s_c^{-1})}$$
$$(2R_s - r) \left[\left(\frac{R_s \sigma_c}{2} + \frac{2R_s^2}{3} \right) - \frac{r}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{2} + \frac{R_s}{3} \right) - \frac{r^2}{12} \right]$$

Note that a theoretical description based $\stackrel{<}{=}$ 10° on **universal properties** of cluster fluids 10^{-2} close to percolation **applies only for** cluster **sizes above 50**

Simulations can be meaningfully compared with theoretical data for r > 2Rs(s=50) To compare theoretical predictions with numerical results we need to extract the non universal values entering in the VAO, i.e. sc and R1



Comparison between theory and simulations

•A surprisingly good agreement is found for r >2Rs(s=50)

• The effective potential can be assimilated to a depletion interaction acting on all length scales associated with the clusters



Comparison between theory and simulations

For r <2Rs(s=50)a quantitative agreement can be achieved by using the numerical cluster size configurations in the expression for VAO



Conclusions



- The use of a critical depletant generates *long-range* effective forces on colloids and can be exploited to control colloidal aggregation and phase transitions.
- A long-range effective force is also found in simulations of two colloids in a depletant close to the percolation transition. Such force provides a geometric analogue of the critical Casimir effect.
- The use of a simple theoretical description based on a polydisperse AO model in which clusters are treated as non-interacting spheres shows that the mechanism controlling the effective interactions can be assimilated to a depletion effect.

Perspectives

•Role of the lifetime of the clusters. Link with depletion?

•Experimental tests?

Conclusions



- The use of a critical depletant generates *long-range* effective forces on colloids and can be exploited to control colloidal aggregation and phase transitions.
- A long-range effective force is also found in simulations of two colloids in a depletant close to the percolation transition. Such force provides a geometric analogue of the critical Casimir effect.
- The use of a simple theoretical description based on a polydisperse AO model in which clusters are treated as non-interacting spheres shows that the mechanism controlling the effective interactions can be assimilated to a depletion effect.

Perspectives

•Role of the lifetime of the clusters. Link with depletion?

•Experimental tests?



Reversible vs irreversible bonds



N. Gnan et al in preparation

Reversible vs irreversible bonds



Truskett et al PRE 62, 993 (2000)

N. Gnan et al in preparation

Depletant model for percolation

3-patches Kern-Frenkel potential (3P) Square-well potential (SW)

$$\Phi_{ij}^{3P}(\mathbf{r}_{ij};\hat{n}_i,\hat{n}_j) = \Phi_{ij}^{SW}(r_{ij}) \cdot f(\hat{r}_{ij};\hat{n}_i,\hat{n}_j)$$

SW potential modulated by an angular function

$$\Phi_{ij}^{SW}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < \sigma_s \\ -\varepsilon, & \sigma_s \le r < (1+\Delta)\sigma_s \\ 0, & r \ge (1+\Delta)\sigma_s \end{cases}$$





$$f(\hat{r}_{ij}; \hat{n}_i, \hat{n}_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \begin{cases} if & \hat{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{n}_i^{(\alpha)} \ge \cos(\theta) \\ and & \hat{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{n}_j^{(\alpha)} \ge \cos(\theta) \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

How to find the percolation treshold (N=10836)

Wertheim
 MC simulations

Percolating Fluid

0.6

0.8



•Evaluate the bond probability for different T

0.4

Ø

Cluster Fluid Phase

0.2

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

0

H

How to find the percolation treshold (N=10836)



•Evaluate the bond probability for different T

• Extrapolate the bond probability at percolation



FIG. 7: (a)probability of finding an infinite cluster as a function of p for two sizes of the system.(b)fraction of particles belonging to the infinite cluster p_{∞} . p_c is roughly estimated as the value of p at which the curves in both figures meet.

How to find the percolation treshold





•Check the power-law behavior of the cluster size distribution close to percolation

• Check the power-law behavior of the connectivity length close to percolation



Role of the bond life-time on the potential

•The percolating fluid does not generate long-ranged forces

•An important difference between percolation and cryticality is the non-divergent relaxation time

•This suggests to make the bond life-time very large (infinite)

-0.5 -0.5 -1 -1.5 -1.5 1 1.05 1.1 1.15 r/σ_c At the critical density just above the percolation line....



There is no diverging long-range behavior in the effective potential

Quantifying the shape of clusters

Gyration Tensor

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle x^2 \right\rangle & \left\langle xy \right\rangle & \left\langle xz \right\rangle \\ \left\langle xy \right\rangle & \left\langle y^2 \right\rangle & \left\langle yz \right\rangle \\ \left\langle xz \right\rangle & \left\langle yz \right\rangle & \left\langle z^2 \right\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(Displacements from centre of mass)

Asymmetry

$$A_{2} = \frac{(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2})^{2} + (\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3})^{2} + (\lambda_{3} - \lambda_{1})^{2}}{2(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})^{2}}$$





$$F(r) = \frac{-\partial A}{\partial r} = k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial r}$$







$$F(r) = \frac{-\partial A}{\partial r} = k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial r}$$

$$\begin{split} F(r) &= -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\partial e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}}}{\partial r} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \frac{e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r+\Delta r)} - e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)}}{\Delta r} e^{\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\left[e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1\right]}{\Delta r} e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1 \rangle}{\Delta r} \end{split}$$



$$F(r) = \frac{-\partial A}{\partial r} = k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial r}$$



$$\begin{split} F(r) &= -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\partial e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}}}{\partial r} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \frac{e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r+\Delta r)} - e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)}}{\Delta r} e^{\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\left[e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1\right]}{\Delta r} e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1 \rangle}{\Delta r} \end{split}$$



The mean force between two solute molecules at separation r is

 $F(r) = \frac{-\partial A}{\partial r} = k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial r}$

Ar

Art

MC Trial Move

$$\begin{split} F(r) &= -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\partial e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}}}{\partial r} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \frac{e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r+\Delta r)} - e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)}}{\Delta r} e^{\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\left[e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1\right]}{\Delta r} e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1 \rangle}{\Delta r} \end{split}$$





$$F(r) = \frac{-\partial A}{\partial r} = k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial r}$$

$$\begin{split} F(r) &= -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\partial e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}}}{\partial r} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \frac{e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r+\Delta r)} - e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)}}{\Delta r} e^{\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0} -\frac{k_B T}{Z} \int d\vec{r}_1 \dots d\vec{r}_N \frac{\left[e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1\right]}{\Delta r} e^{-\beta \phi_{HS}(r)} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta r \to 0^+} -\frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1 \rangle}{\Delta r} + \lim_{\Delta r \to 0^-} -\frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta \phi_{HS}} - 1 \rangle}{\Delta r} \end{split}$$

Depletant models

Square-well potential (SW)

$$\Phi_{ij}^{SW}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < \sigma_s \\ -\varepsilon, & \sigma_s \le r < (1+\Delta)\sigma_s \\ 0, & r \ge (1+\Delta)\sigma_s \end{cases}$$

3-patches Kern-Frenkel potential (3P)

$$\Phi_{ij}^{3P}(\mathbf{r}_{ij};\hat{n}_i,\hat{n}_j) = \Phi_{ij}^{SW}(r_{ij}) \cdot f(\hat{r}_{ij};\hat{n}_i,\hat{n}_j)$$

SW potential modulated by an angular function

$$f(\hat{r}_{ij}; \hat{n}_i, \hat{n}_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \begin{cases} if & \hat{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{n}_i^{(\alpha)} \ge \cos(\theta) \\ and & \hat{r}_{ij} \cdot \hat{n}_j^{(\alpha)} \ge \cos(\theta) \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$







Effective potentials of hard-sphere colloids in two different depletant models

- V_{eff} loses its oscillatory character upon cooling and turns into a **completely attractive** potential
- •In addition a progressive significant **increase of the interaction range** is observed on approaching Tc



N. Gnan et al. Soft Matter 8 (2012), N. Gn

N. Gnan et al. JCP 137, 084903 (2012)