Transport in finite size systems: An exit time approach
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In the framework of chaotic scattering we analyze passive tracer transport in finite systems. In particular, we study models with open streamlines and a finite number of recirculation zones. In the nontrivial case with a small number of recirculation zones a description by means of asymptotic quantities (such as the eddy diffusivity) is not appropriate. The nonasymptotic properties of dispersion are characterized by means of the exit time statistics, which shows strong sensitivity on initial conditions. This yields a probability distribution function with long tails, making impossible a characterization in terms of a unique typical exit time.
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In nonideal cases very often it is not possible to characterize dispersion in terms of asymptotic quantities such as, e.g., diffusion coefficients. This may happen in geophysical problems involving finite domain systems with no large scale separation between domain size and largest characteristic Eulerian length. In order to characterize nonasymptotic transport properties in such systems, one can use tools borrowed from dynamical systems theory. In this perspective, we study here flows with a small number of recirculation zones by means of exit times and suitable probabilistic approximations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of transport in velocity fields characterized by different flow regimes in different subareas (i.e., a “spatially disordered set of streamlines”) has been considered by many authors. Particular attention has been devoted to steady and time-dependent oceanic and atmospheric flows with recirculations and the related problem of the dispersion in porous media. In this paper we will focus our attention on flows with recirculations of geophysical interest. The study of transport properties in presence of recirculations has a crucial relevance, since gyre- or eddy-like recirculating patterns are ubiquitous features in different areas of the world ocean and atmosphere. In the ocean these features are typically induced by forcing spatial structures at the boundaries (e.g., bottom topography, wind stress curl, coastlines) or by intrinsic dynamical reasons (mesoscale eddies), one interacting with the other (for a general reference, see Ref. 9).

It is worth to note that large-scale meandering jets, which are typically associated with the extensions of western boundary currents, often separate ocean regions characterized by different physical and biogeochemical characteristics. Consequently, the study of mixing processes in correspondence of them is important also for multidisciplinary investigations (e.g., the biological effects of longitudinal transport in western boundary current extensions, see Ref. 11).

The systems we consider are characterized by the joint presence of open streamline areas where particle motion is essentially a ballistic flight and closed streamline regions typically distributed according to a periodic geometry, where particles tend to be trapped. The easiest way to study the transport properties in such systems is by averaging over smaller space or over shorter time scales. Typically, this results in the possibility of describing dispersion by an equation for macroscopic quantities of the system such as the average passive scalar concentration in terms of standard diffusion. However, a description in terms of equations for macroscopic quantities needs to average out the small scale (fast) features of the velocity field and thus such approach applies only for asymptotic times, when particles have been able to thoroughly sample the different flow regimes in the system.

As stressed by Young, before reaching this asymptotic regime very interesting transient behaviors, which cannot be described within an effective diffusion model, could occur. The transient regime may be very long and, if the system is finite, the asymptotic one may not be reached. This is, typically, the case of finite domain systems with no large scale separation between the size of the domain and the largest characteristic Eulerian length. In realistic flows, which are usually characterized by a fairly limited number of recirculations, fluid particles ordinarily sample just a fraction of the available regimes.

Therefore, it is often not possible to characterize dispersion simply in terms of asymptotic quantities such as average velocity and diffusion coefficients: different approaches are...
needed, as done in Refs. 13–15 for the characterization of transport in closed domains; such as the symbolic dynamics approach to the subdiffusive behavior in a stochastic layer and to mixing in meandering jets, respectively, described in Refs. 16 and 17; or the study of tracer dynamics in open flows in terms of chaotic scattering by Tél and co-workers;18–20 and the exit time approach of Ref. 5.

The aim of this paper is to describe dispersion in finite size systems. In particular, we want to characterize flows with a small number of recirculations using some ideas stemming from the chaotic scattering theory.21

In Sec. II we briefly review some methods for the study of transport in nonasymptotic regimes, namely the finite-size diffusion coefficients and the exit time statistics originated from the chaotic scattering phenomenon. Section III contains a description of the two studied models (traveling wave and meandering jet) and some numerical results. Section IV is devoted to the comparison between numerical results and a probabilistic model. Conclusions are presented in Sec. V.

II. TOOLS FOR THE STUDY OF NONASYMPTOTIC TRANSPORT PROPERTIES

The investigation of passive tracer diffusion is usually reduced to the study of an effective equation describing the long-time, large-distance average tracer concentration behavior. Under rather general hypotheses, given an Eulerian velocity field the long-time transport process is uniquely characterized by the effective diffusion (or diffusivity) tensor $D_{ij}^E$.

$$D_{ij}^E = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \langle (x_i(t) - \bar{x}_i)(x_j(t) - \bar{x}_j) \rangle,$$

where $x(t)$ is the position of the tracer particle at time $t$; $i,j = 1,\ldots,d$, and $d$ is the spatial dimension; the average is taken over the tracer initial conditions or, equivalently, over an ensemble of tracer particles. The effective diffusion tensor $D_{ij}^E$ takes into account the molecular diffusivity and the details of the velocity field. Even in presence of simple Eulerian fields (e.g., laminar and periodic in time) the diffusion coefficient as a function of the parameters of the velocity field can display a rather nontrivial behavior.22,23 For a detailed discussion on the nonasymptotic transport properties see Ref. 24.

It is worth underlining that the diffusivity tensor (1) is mathematically well defined only in the asymptotic limit, therefore its use in finite size domains yields meaningful results only if the characteristic length $l_u$ of the velocity field is much smaller than the size of the domain. If this is not the case (e.g., in many geophysical settings or plasma physics13), dispersion can be characterized more satisfactorily using concepts and techniques borrowed by the dynamical systems theory which will be discussed in the following.

For instance, when one has to cope with systems with finite boundaries one can introduce the “doubling time” $T(\delta)$ at scale $\delta$ as follows: define a series of thresholds $\delta^{(n)} = r^n \delta^{(0)}$, where $\delta^{(0)}$ is the initial size of a cloud of passive scalars [e.g., $\delta^{(0)}$ could be the rms radius of the cloud], and then measure the time $T(\delta^{(0)})$ it takes for the growth from $\delta^{(0)}$ to $\delta^{(1)} = r \delta^{(0)}$, and so on for $T(\delta^{(1)}), T(\delta^{(2)}), \ldots$ up to the largest considered scale. Though strictly speaking the term “doubling time” refers to the threshold rate $r = 2$, any value can be chosen for $r$, even if a too large one might not separate different scale contributions.

Performing $M \gg 1$ experiments with different initial conditions for the scalars’ cloud, we define an average doubling time $\overline{T(\delta)}$ at scale $\delta$ as

$$\overline{T(\delta)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} T_m(\delta),$$

and the finite size Lagrangian Lyapunov exponent14 as

$$\lambda(\delta) = \frac{\ln r}{\overline{T(\delta)}},$$

in this way a finite size diffusion coefficient dimensionally turns to be $D(\delta) = \delta^2 \lambda(\delta)$. It can be shown that the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ can be obtained from $\lambda(\delta)$ for $\delta \to 0$, namely $\lambda(\delta) = \lambda$ for $\delta < l_u$, where $l_u$ is the Eulerian characteristic length.25

It is worth noting that the average in (2) is different from the usual time average (see Ref. 25 for a detailed discussion of this point).

For a tracers’ cloud of noninfinitesimal size $\overline{T(\delta)}$ depends on the details of the nonlinear mechanisms of expansion: in the case of standard diffusion $D(\delta)$ is a constant, i.e., $1/\overline{T(\delta)} \sim \delta^{-2}$.14 Thus

$$\lambda(\delta) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } \delta \ll l_u \\ D/\delta^2 & \text{if } \delta > l_u. \end{cases}$$

The fixed scale analysis allows us to extract physical information at different spatial scales avoiding some unpleasant consequences resulting from working at a fixed delay time $t$. For instance, in presence of strong intermittency, $R^2(t)$ as a function of $t$ can be rather different from one realization to another generating an apparently anomalous regime. For a detailed discussion about these possible effects, see Ref. 14. Let us remark that the above technique recovers the usual asymptotic description when there is a large scales separation and also if a genuine anomalous diffusion occurs,26 in addition, it constitutes a systematic method to treat situations in which the scales are not well separated. Moreover, the finite size diffusion coefficient $D(\delta)$ enables the understanding of the different spreading mechanisms at different scales. This has been recently shown in Ref. 15, where this method is applied to analyzing experimental trajectories described by surface drifters in the Adriatic Sea.

Another interesting approach to the study of transport properties in finite (open) systems is the chaotic scattering theory used in Refs. 18–20 for passive tracer advection in open flows, see also Ref. 21 for examples, of applications of chaotic scattering. In a nutshell, chaotic scattering can be summarized as follows. A particle arriving from, say, $x = -\infty$ enters a region (defined as the scattering, or interacting, region) where due to the presence of a potential it scatters, then exits and goes to $x = \infty$. Typically, for a rather general class of potentials27 the time a particle takes to escape from the scattering region can be very sensitive on the impact parameter $b$ and thus displaying a chaotic character (like a ball in a pinball game). This justifies the definition in terms
of chaos, even if since chaos is a time-asymptotic concept, from a technical point of view this kind of behavior is not chaotic: particles after a transient (even if very long) leave the scattering region and enter a regular motion regime.

A nice example of the application of chaotic scattering theory in passive tracer study is given in Refs. 18 and 19 for the motion of Lagrangian tracers in blinking vortex-sink system and in a von Karman vortex street behind a cylinder in a channel. Tracer particles can temporarily be trapped in certain regions, e.g., the wakes of the von Karman street, performing very irregular paths. On the other hand, since the non stationarity of the flow is mainly restricted to a finite mixing region around the obstacles, the asymptotic almost free particle motion is also recovered.

For the use of the exit time approach for the transport and mixing in volume preserving maps, see Ref. 28.

The analogy between chaotic scattering, occurring in Hamiltonian systems, and passive scalar motion can be drawn in formal terms for two dimensional incompressible velocity field.\textsuperscript{18} In this case the Eulerian field is described by a stream-function $\psi(x,y,t)$, and the corresponding equations for the Lagrangian evolution are

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \psi(x,y,t)}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{\partial \psi(x,y,t)}{\partial x}. \quad (5)$$

Equations (5) are nothing but the canonical equations for a one-dimensional time dependent Hamiltonian system, where the stream function plays the role of the Hamiltonian.

Since in chaotic scattering the particle exit time from the interacting region depends strongly on the initial conditions, it is interesting to look at the time delay function,\textsuperscript{18} i.e., the exit time as a function of the initial position, $\tau(x(0),y(0))$, e.g., with $x(0) = x_0$. In presence of chaotic scattering $\tau(x_0,y(0))$, displays a rather irregular shape (see, e.g., Fig. 5.18 in Ref. 27).

As already remarked, since in chaotic scattering the irregular character is confined both in space and time (i.e., one has the so-called transient chaos), the Lyapunov exponent is trivially zero; however, a sort of high sensitivity on initial conditions is suggested by the occurrence of very different time delays for very close deployment locations.

The presence of large excursions for the exit time has an obvious relevance for transport processes in finite size systems. The wild variations of $\tau(x_0,y(0))$ pose severe limits on the possibility to make prediction on the particles behavior and force us to use statistical approaches. This leads to introduce the probability distribution function, $P(\tau)$, of the exit times $\tau(x_0,y(0))$.

III. TWO SIMPLE FLOWS

In this paper two idealized flow models are studied; both are reminiscent of oceanographic features, namely finite amplitude Rossby waves in a channel and meandering jets sided by recirculation regions. The approach we use is kinematic, i.e., we use an \textit{a priori} kinematically assigned streamfunction whose spatial and temporal characteristics resemble those of the flow field observed in the real ocean in correspondence of the features of interest. Such very simplified models have been extensively used in the recent past (see, e.g., Refs. 29, 30, 31). An obvious drawback, however, might lie in their possible dynamical lack of consistency since the flow field does not result from the integration of the equations of motion, leading, e.g., to the lack of conservation of potential vorticity.

This issue is extensively discussed in Ref. 32, and in Ref. 33. In addition there are some studies in which this inconsistency is at least partly solved by looking at particle exchange in flow regions with vanishing potential vorticity gradients as in Ref. 34; or with piecewise constant potential vorticity, as in Ref. 35. From a phenomenological point of view, this approach can be justified by the fact that, as underlined in Ref. 32, this is the case in regions characterized by barotropic or baroclinic instabilities, which typically require a reversal of the cross-stream potential vorticity gradient.

The opposite approach with respect to the one adopted in the present paper would be that of fully dynamical models (see, e.g., Ref. 36 and references therein\textsuperscript{17}). Obviously—even if it seems to be possible to reconcile the approaches in terms of the subdivision of the potential vorticity field into a coarse-grained and a fine-grained one, as suggested by\textsuperscript{38}—investigating particle mixing by a kinematic model is a relatively crude approximation. However, the fully dynamical approach typically results in flow regimes so complex that identifying single processes and mechanisms within them becomes very hard; on the contrary, the use of the toy model of the present paper is motivated by the fact that despite their somehow artificial character, these simplified models enable to focus on individual mechanisms and processes, and by our interest to explore fluid exchange with a novel methodological approach.

In the reference frame moving with the phase speed of the wave, the flow field shows a central open streamline region (ballistic motion) sided by trapping recirculations [see Fig. 1(a)]; this flow pattern is a suitable, even if simplified, prototype for studying the effect of a finite number of trapping areas on the longitudinal dispersion of particles.

The two-dimensional incompressible Rossby wave flow\textsuperscript{39} here considered is specified by the following stream function:

$$\Psi_0(x,y) = A_0 \sin(K_0 x) \sin(L_0 y) - c_0 y, \quad (6)$$

where $A_0$ is related to the maximum velocity in the $y$ direction, $(K_0, L_0)$ is the wave vector and $c_0$ is the phase speed of the primary wave in the $x$ direction. In (6) $\Psi_0$ is expressed in the reference frame co-moving with the primary wave.

In order to reproduce the instabilities usually present in geophysical flows, we introduce a time-periodic perturbation, $\delta \Psi(x,y,t)$:

$$\delta \Psi(x,y,t) = \alpha \sin(K_1 x - \Omega t) \sin(L_1 y), \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a (not necessarily small) parameter which controls the amplitude of the perturbation and $(K_1, L_1)$ is the wave vector of the perturbation (secondary wave). Even though realistic disturbances cannot be characterized in
terms of a single wave alone, the perturbation (7) is a first step towards a description of the complex structure of transport mechanisms in these systems.

The second flow we investigate is a meandering jet, which represents a natural extension of the above Rossby wave system, and it was extensively studied in the literature with particular reference to the Gulf Stream. Again, the flow can be subdivided into different regions roughly corresponding to a prograde flow (in reality, the current jet core; in our schematization, the open streamline regime), recirculation regions and, at a farther distance, an essentially quiescent (far) field [see Fig. 1(b)].

We consider now fluid particle trajectories in the two-dimensional kinematic model originally proposed by Bower and thereafter widely studied. The large-scale flow, in a reference frame moving eastward with a velocity coinciding with the meander phase speed and suitably nondimensionalized, is expressed by the stream function:

$$\psi(x,y) = -\tanh \left[ \frac{y - B \cos kx}{(1 + k^2 B^2 \sin^2 kx)^{1/2}} \right] + cy. \quad (8)$$

In Fig. 1(b) we show the streamlines in the co-moving frame.

As mentioned above, chaotic advection may be introduced through a time dependence. Among the different mechanisms discussed in Ref. 30, we chose here a time-periodic oscillation of the meander amplitude:

$$B(t) = B_0 + \gamma \cos(\omega t + \theta), \quad (9)$$

where we set $B_0 = 1.2$, $\gamma = 0.3$, $\omega = 0.4$, and $\theta = \pi/2$.

The choice of the parameter values was motivated mainly by the results of the observations by Kontoyiannis and Watts and of the numerical simulations by Dimas and Triantafylou. Namely, the most unstable waves produced in the latter work compare very well with the observations of the former, which show wavelengths of 260 Km, periods of ~8 days, e-folding space and time scales of 250 Km and 3 days, respectively. In our case, since the downstream speed was set to 1 m/s, our e-folding time scale would correspond, in dimensional units, to approximately 3 days.

Let us remark that the dynamical results do not change at least at a qualitative level, if there is overlapping of resonances. This happens when $\gamma > \gamma_c$. In our choice indeed $\gamma > \gamma_c$ and $\gamma_c$ depend on $\omega$; for the results of this test, as well as for a further discussion of the parameter choice and system sensitivity, the reader is referred to Ref. 17.

It is worth underlining that, even if quite a great deal of efforts has been devoted to study fluid exchange across the jet, very little is known as to tracer behavior in the along-jet direction (periodic flows with open streamlines have been proposed as model for the meandering jets; the presence of recirculations has been seen to induce nontrivial effects on the along-jet dispersion, see Refs. 46–49).

Tracer particle trajectories have been numerically generated from Eqs. (5) with the streamfunctions corresponding to the traveling wave [(6)–(7)] and the meandering jet [(8)–(9)]. However, since the results relative to the two flows are qualitatively the same, we shall present and discuss just those obtained for the meandering jet.

As can be seen from the streamfunctions, the two flows are periodic in the longitudinal direction. Since we are interested in the characterization of longitudinal transport, the number of elementary flow structures (or cells), $N_c$, constituting the system, is a crucial parameter. For very large $N_c$, the dispersion properties of the system can be studied using asymptotic techniques, e.g., the multiscale method. On the contrary, we mainly concentrate on systems with a small number of cells, namely $N_c = 2 - 10$.

The first focus of our analysis is the particle exit time (or time delay function, see Sec. II) as a function of the initial position, i.e., the time $\tau(x_0, y(0))$ a tracer particle deployed at $(x_0, y(0))$ takes to reach the boundary $x_{\text{max}} = N_c 2 \pi/k$. Two very different scenarios occur for large and small $N_c$.

Figures 2(a)–2(d) show the behavior of $\tau(x_0, y(0))$ for $N_c = 3, 10, 100, 1000$. Increasing the system size there is a clear change in the shape of $\tau(x_0, y(0))$: highly inhomogeneous structures (fractal objects) for low $N_c$ [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], with very strong fluctuations of the exit time value even for small variations of the initial conditions. As $N_c$ increases, the shape of $\tau(x_0, y(0))$ becomes more and more homogeneous.

The fractal character of $\tau(x_0, y(0))$ is evident from Fig. 2(a) and the enlargements Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), which suggest the self-similarity of the structures at different scales. This
can be quantitatively assessed studying the correlation dimension $D$ of the initial condition set $y(0) \%$ such that $t(x_0, y(0))$. Using the Grassberger and Procaccia algorithm, i.e., computing the percentage $C(r)$ of pairs $(y_i, y_j)$ such that $|y_i - y_j| \leq r$; for small $r$ we obtain $C(r) \sim r^{-D}$ (shown in Fig. 4) with $D < 1$. The value of $D$ can depend weakly on the threshold $\Theta$, e.g., for $\Theta = 15$ and the parameters of Fig. 2(a) $D$ results $0.83$ and $\Theta = 30$ yields $D = 0.78$.

Let us remark that also for very large values of $N_c$ some fractal structures may be present, in particular, on very small scales (detectable only for infinitesimally close particles). However, we do not consider this feature because on those scales in real fluids we expect the presence of smoothing due to molecular diffusion.

In order to characterize the system behavior, we have also studied the probability density function $P_{N_c}(\tau(\tau))$. In Figs. 5(a)–5(d) the probability density functions $P_{N_c}(\tau(\tau))$ corresponding to $N_c = 3, 10, 100, 1000$ are shown. For large $N_c$ [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)] $P_{N_c}(\tau(\tau))$ displays an asymptotic shape which can be obtained with simple probabilistic arguments (see below, Sec. IV), whereas in the opposite case [Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)] the distributions exhibit sharp peaks in correspondence of the ballistic time and exponential tails indicating the possibility of very large excursions. (See Tables I and II.)

The above results show that the dispersion process in a finite size system cannot be described in terms of a unique characteristic time. As shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), for $N_c = 3 - 10$ the exit time $\tau(x_0, y(0))$ exhibits very strong fluc-
tations within 2–3 orders of magnitude, which prevents the possibility to extract meaningful information just from the average of $t(x_0, y(0))$. This is reflected in the exit times probability distribution: for large $N_c$ the shape of the distribution suggests that the average exit time is able to catch the relevant features of the mixing. Whereas this is not the case for small $N_c$, where close tracers may exit with very different times [see Figs. 2(a), 2(b), 5(a), and 5(b)].

IV. A PROBABLISTIC MODEL COMPARED WITH NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the preceding section we have discussed some statistical properties of the Lagrangian dynamics generated by the streamfunctions (6), (8); it seems thus natural to look for probabilistic models reproducing the above properties.

The Rossby wave flow [Fig. 1(a)] shows two different regions; the central one characterized by ballistic motion (open streamlines) and particle trapping recirculations (closed streamlines) on both sides of the ballistic regime. The meandering jet flow [Fig. 1(b)], in addition to the recirculation and ballistic ones, presents two far field regions moving retrogradely with respect to the jet core. However, with our parameter choice the far field is practically never visited by the tracer particles and thus we shall not consider them.

This intrinsic subdivision of the flow fields suggests to

TABLE I. Transition matrix elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W_{BB}$</th>
<th>$W_{BT}$</th>
<th>$W_{TB}$</th>
<th>$W_{TT}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_c$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. Correlation integral $C(r)$ versus $r$ computed from the data of Fig. 3(a), with threshold $\Theta = 15$. The straight line has slope $D = 0.83$.

FIG. 5. $P_N(t(t) \leq t)$ versus $t(t)$ for the meandering jet flow with the same parameters as in Fig. 3, computed with $4 \times 10^4$ particles starting in $x(0) \in [0, \pi/k], y(0) \in [-3, 3]$ for (a) $N_c = 3$ cells ($\langle t \rangle = 11.73$, expressed in perturbation time), (b) $N_c = 10$ ($\langle t \rangle = 32.04$), (c) $N_c = 100$ ($\langle t \rangle = 281.6$), and (d) $N_c = 1000$ ($\langle t \rangle = 2761.02$). The prediction (22) is shown as dashed continuous line in all the cases. The parameters $\Psi$ and $D$ have been calculated from (24) for $N_c = 1000$ and evaluated as 0.365 and 1.038, respectively. These values are close to that computed for $N_c = 500$. In (a) and (b) the Markovian prediction is also shown as continuous lines using $\Delta t = 1.36$ and evaluating the probabilities $P_i$ and $W_{ij}$ as reported in Tables I and II.
TABLE II. Visit probabilities. The probabilities are evaluated for the stream function (8) with parameters \( k=4/15 \), \( B_0=1.2 \), \( c=0.12 \), \( \omega=0.4 \), and \( \gamma=0.3 \). The statistics have been computed over \( 2 \times 10^8 \) periods.

| \( P_B \) | 0.26 |
| \( P_T \) | 0.74 |

build a discrete symbolic picture of the motion: one can label the trapping and ballistic regions with the symbols \( T \) and \( B \), respectively, and then construct a probabilistic model. Since the studied flows are time periodic we express the time in number periods of the perturbation, namely \( 2\pi/\omega \).

The simplest conceivable probabilistic model is a Bernoulli scheme such that at each time the particle can be in the states \( B \) with probability \( p \), or \( T \) with probability \( 1-p \). However, we do not expect such a model to give a good description of the system, because once the length \( L \) of the system (i.e., the equivalent of \( N_c \)) is fixed, the probability \( P_L(\tau) \) that at time \( \tau \geq L \) the particle exits from the domain depends just on the parameter \( p \), and just one free parameter is obviously not enough to characterize the system behavior.

The natural choice for a model which take into account memory effects is a Markov chain.\(^{53} \) The process is completely defined by the transition matrix \( W_{ij} \), i.e., by the probability to go in one step to the state \( j \) starting from the state \( i \) \((i,j=B,T)\) which has the properties:

\[
W_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j W_{ij} = 1. \tag{10}
\]

The stationary probabilities \( P_i \) to be in state \( i \) are given by

\[
P_i = \sum_j P_j W_{ji}. \tag{11}
\]

Now we consider the following stochastic process for the evolution of a particle:

\[
\Delta x(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } W_{iB} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } W_{iT}, \end{cases} \tag{12}
\]

where \( i \) represents the state visited at time \( t-1 \) and \( \Delta x(t) \) the increment in the position of the particle at time \( t \).

Then we can compute the probability \( P_L(\tau) \) as follows:

\[
P_L(\tau) = \sum_{k=L-1}^{\tau-1} P_{L-1}(k) F_{BB}(\tau-k), \tag{13}
\]

where \( F_{BB}(n) \) is the probability of first arrival from state \( B \) to the state \( B \) in \( n \) steps.

The probability \( F_{BB}(n) \) of the first arrival from state \( B \) to state \( B \) at step \( n \) is nothing but the equivalent of \( W_{BB} \), minus the probability of first arrival at step \( n-k \) times the probability of return in \( k \) steps, i.e., \((W^k)_{BB} \), with \( k=1,\cdots,n-1 \), so that one has the following recursive formula:\(^{53} \)

\[
F_{BB}(n) = (W^n)_{BB} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} F_{BB}(n-k)(W^k)_{BB}, \tag{14}
\]

where \( W^k \) indicates the \( k \)th power of the matrix \( W \).

Applying recursively the (13) yields

\[
P_L(\tau) = \sum_{k_1=L-1}^{\tau-1} F_{BB}(\tau-k_1) \sum_{k_2=L-2}^{k_1-1} F_{BB}(k_1-k_2) \cdots \sum_{k_L-1}^{k_{L-2}} F_{BB}(k_L-k_{L-1}) P_1(k_{L-1}) \tag{15}
\]

being

\[
P_1(k) = \begin{cases} P_B & k = 1 \\ P_T(W_{TT})^{k-2} W_{TB} & k \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{16}
\]

Even if, in general a one-step Markov process is not enough for a detailed description of statistical properties of the dynamical system,\(^ {17,54,55} \) it may result a good description as long as \( \tau \) is not too small: one indeed expects that for large exit times the memory effects are less important. The expression (15) for \( P_L(\tau) \) has been compared with the exit time probabilities numerically computed in Sec. III. In order to carry out the comparison between the probabilistic model and the numerical results we have first to evaluate the parameters of the model, i.e., \( L, P_j, W_{ij} \).

In the numerical evaluation of the matrix \( W_{ij} \) and the probabilities \( P_i \), we have proceeded according to the following scheme. Expressing the time in number of periods of the perturbation, the transition probabilities are computed from a long trajectory \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \) \((n \gg 1)\) in an infinite system \((N_c = \infty)\) as

\[
W_{ij} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{N_n(i,j)}{N_n(i)}, \tag{17}
\]

where \( N_n(i) \) is the number of times that, along the trajectory, the particle visits the state \( i \) \((i=T\) or \( B)\) and \( N_n(i,j) \) is the number of times that \( x_i \) is in state \( i \) and \( x_{i+1} \) is in state \( j \) \((i,j=T,B)\). The visit probabilities \( P_i \) are simply given by \( \lim_{n \to \infty} N_n(i)/n \). The identification of the visited state is performed by controlling the value of the stream function and the sign of the velocity along the \( x,y \) axis.\(^ {17} \)

In order to evaluate \( L \) we have to take into account that in the physical system the spatial increment per time step (i.e., during one period of the perturbation), \( \Delta x \) may vary with time. We have then computed the most probable value \( \Delta x \), of \( x_i + (2\pi/\omega) - x_i \), hence we have rescaled \( L \) using \([L/\Delta x] = \bar{L}\), the square brackets \( [\cdot] \) here indicate the integer part of the argument.

In Figs. 5(a)–5(b) the probability density distributions \( P_L(\tau/\bar{\tau}) \) are compared with those obtained using (15). The small shift of the peak at the beginning of the distributions could depend on the estimate of \( \bar{\Delta x} \). As can be seen from the Figs. 5(a)–5(b), even for \( \bar{\tau} \sim 3 - 10 \) we obtain a good description of \( P_L(\tau/\bar{\tau}) \) at least for \( \bar{\tau} \gg 1 \), i.e., for those particles that experience a large number of transitions between different states. Increasing \( L \), \( P_L(\tau/\bar{\tau}) \) is better and better approximated by the Markov chain prediction. It is worth remarking that we are comparing the numerical results with the prediction of the probabilistic model without performing any data fitting.

On space/time scales much larger than the typical time/space scales of the velocity field the evolution of a test particle follows a diffusive scenario. Thus we expect the follow-
V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we have studied non asymptotic properties for passive tracer transport. For a finite system (of size $L$), which is a rather common case in real problems (e.g., geophysical and plasma flows), the usual characterization by means of asymptotic quantities (such as the eddy diffusivity) is not appropriate if $L$ is not very large with respect to the typical length scale of the velocity field.

We have considered two models of geophysical interest (traveling waves and meandering jet) studying their Lagrangian transport properties at varying the longitudinal size $L$. Transport has been analyzed in terms of the statistical properties of the exit times of particles from the systems. This analysis has been carried out borrowing concepts from chaotic scattering theory.

In the limit of very large $L$ the usual asymptotic scenario is recovered, i.e., the mean velocity $\mathbf{v}$ and the diffusion coefficient $D$ completely characterize the transport process. In this case, one typical time is enough to describe the basic features of the process. On the contrary, in the more interesting (and realistic) cases with a not very large $L$, there is no unique relevant characteristic time. Indeed for small $L$, even if the average exit time is defined and finite (the distribution $P_L(\tau)$ is almost exponential), the exit times show a strong sensitivity to initial conditions (this is a manifestation of transient chaos in a non-chaotic system), limiting the possibility of a detailed forecasting of particle behavior. As a consequence one has that tracers which start very close may have exit times different for order of magnitude, making impossible a characterization in terms of a unique time (i.e., the average exit time give a very poor information).

Suitable processes (e.g., Markov chains) prove to capture the relevant statistical aspects of transport process. If $L$ is very large the exit times statistics is well described in terms of first exit time problem for a linear Langevin equation involving only $\mathbf{v}$ and $D$. For systems with a moderate number of recirculation zones one has to introduce a more detailed probabilistic model.
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